

# **A&E Mapping and Efficiency Review Across 10 National Hospitals - Overview Report**

Health Service Executive

October 2005

**Document control sheet**

Client	Health Service Executive
Document Title	A&E Mapping and Efficiency Review Across 10 National Hospitals - Overview Report
Version	02.1
Status	Final Report
Reference	15201
Author	Alison Kilduff
Date	October 2005
Further copies from	<b>email: <a href="mailto:documents@tribalgroupp.co.uk">documents@tribalgroupp.co.uk</a> quoting reference and author</b>

Quality assurance by:	Jonathan Pearson
-----------------------	------------------

<b>Document history</b>			
Version	Date	Author	Comments
02.1	October 2005	Alison Kilduff	First Draft
02	30 <sup>th</sup> September	Jonathan Pearson	QA First Draft
02.1	10 <sup>th</sup> October	Alison Kilduff	Insertion of amendments from PSG & editing of final draft

<b>Contact details</b>			
Main point of contact	Telephone number	Email address	Postal address
Alison Kilduff	+44 161 902 1100	<a href="mailto:Alison.kilduff@tribalsecta.co.uk">Alison.kilduff@tribalsecta.co.uk</a>	

**Contents**

1 Introduction..... 1

1.1 A Modern Trend ..... 1

1.2 Impact of Public Spending Cuts.....2

1.3 System Reform.....3

1.4 The A&E 10 Point Plan.....4

2 A&E Mapping and Efficiency Project .....6

2.1 Overall Aim.....6

2.2 Project Objectives .....6

2.3 Format of the Report .....7

3 Gathering the Learning .....8

3.1 Related Earlier Work in Ireland.....8

4 Best Practice ..... 10

4.2 Reforming Emergency Care ..... 10

4.3 High Impact Changes ..... 12

4.4 Systematic Review on Reducing Attendances and Waiting Time in Emergency  
Departments ..... 17

5 Approach ..... 19

5.1 Participating Organisations..... 19

5.2 Methodology..... 19

5.3 Outputs..... 20

6 System Wide Findings ..... 21

6.1 Precursor..... 21

6.2 Bed Capacity ..... 21

6.3 Location of Emergency Departments..... 22

6.4 Physical Infrastructure of Emergency Departments ..... 23

6.5 Operational Efficiency across Emergency Departments ..... 23

6.6 Role of the Ambulance Service ..... 23

6.7 A System Wide Focus ..... 23

6.8 Lack of focus upon primary care..... 24

6.9 Disproportionate focus on the acute hospital sector ..... 26

- 6.10 Diagnostics ..... 27
- 6.11 Workforce Planning & Flexibility ..... 30
- 6.12 Emergency and Elective Mix ..... 31
- 6.13 Finance and the Possibility of Perverse Incentives ..... 31
- 6.14 Delayed Discharges ..... 32
- 6.15 Governance Mechanisms ..... 34
- 6.16 Information and ICT ..... 34
- 6.17 Data Consistency & Quality ..... 35
- 7 Management of Patient Flow ..... 36
- 7.1 A Four Part Perspective ..... 36
- 7.2 Flow 1: Emergency Presentation & Demand Management ..... 37
- 7.3 Flow 2: Emergency Department & Flow ..... 38
- 7.3 Flow 3: Patient Throughput ..... 44
- 7.4 Flow 3: Patient Throughput ..... 44
- 7.5 Flow 4: Discharge ..... 47
- 8 The Way Forward ..... 50
- 8.1 Emergency and Urgent Care Focus ..... 50
- 8.2 A Whole System Approach ..... 50
- 8.3 System Reform ..... 51
- 8.4 Disease Management ..... 52
- 8.5 Capacity ..... 52
- 8.6 Infrastructure ..... 53
- 8.7 Finance ..... 53
- 8.8 Incentives ..... 54
- 8.9 Operating Framework ..... 54
- 8.10 Issues and Recommendations ..... 56

**Appendices**

- Appendix 1: Data Request ..... - 60 -

## Foreword

This report presents the findings of a nationally commissioned piece of work across ten hospitals to identify how the application of best practice could improve the pathway of patients from admission from the emergency department to discharge, maximising the appropriate utilisation of existing acute capacity. This is one part of a number of initiatives co-ordinated through the Health Service Executive to improve the delivery of responsive and patient-centred services throughout the State.

The Project Team would like to acknowledge the full co-operation and participation of all the executive, management and clinical teams across the ten hospitals, without whose support and flexibility this project would not have been possible. We would also like to thank the National Hospitals Office Core Team and the Hospital Network Managers for their assistance in co-ordinating the project throughout.

Finally we would thank the Project Steering Group for their time and input to the planning of the project and the recommendations contained in this report.