**Instructions for Domain Ratings**: Below is a list of domains that are important and necessary for rating a guideline (CPG). To select 'yes', all items listed need to be present, well described, and well executed (e.g. key research references are included for each recommendation). To select 'no', one or more items must be absent or poorly conducted. We encourage you to write comments about your ratings (e.g. are the items present, are they well described) because that will aid the Guideline Programme Team in their understanding of your guideline review. Please refer to specific pages or sections in the CPG when providing comments.

Ν	A۱	ЛF	of	FΑ	G	RF\	/IE\	<b>NER</b>

DOMAIN	Observed		COMMENTS
	Yes	No	
SCOPE AND PURPOSE			
Objectives clearly described			
Health benefits from the guideline are specific to the clinical problem			
Clinical questions clearly described			
Patient/population described			
STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT			
Individuals involved in the development process reported			
Patient/Population views sought			
Describes how PPI views were sought			
Target users defined			
RIGOUR OF DEVELOPMENT			
Strategy used for evidence search outlined			
Criteria for selection of evidence and included studies described			

This instrument is adapted from the AGREE II instrument (<a href="http://www.agreetrust.org/">http://www.agreetrust.org/</a>). For more information about the ongoing research with the AGREE II instrument, please visit the AGREE Research Trust web site (<a href="http://www.agreetrust.org/research-projects/">http://www.agreetrust.org/research-projects/</a>).

Recommendations reflect	
considerations of both	
benefits and harms	
Denents and names	
Character and P. 19 11 C	-
Strengths and limitations of	
evidence clearly described	
Link between key	
recommendations and	
evidence clearly documented	
•	
CLARITY AND PRESENTATION	
Key recommendations	
identifiable	
dentinable	
Considia consumbiace	-
Specific unambiguous	
recommendations presented	
Future research and evidence	
gaps outlined	
APPLICABILITY	
Facilitators and barriers to	
CPG use described	
	-
Advice on how the	
recommendations can be	
put into practice provided	
Resource implications	
addressed	
Audit criteria presented	7
'	
EDITORIAL INDEPENDENCE	
Competing interests declared	
Competing interests deciated	
	1

	Comments	
OVERALL GUIDELINE QUALITY		
I would recommend this guideline for use	Yes	
guideline for use	Yes but with modifications (see comments)	
	No	

This instrument is adapted from the AGREE II instrument (<a href="http://www.agreetrust.org/">http://www.agreetrust.org/</a>). For more information about the ongoing research with the AGREE II instrument, please visit the AGREE Research Trust web site (<a href="http://www.agreetrust.org/research-projects/">http://www.agreetrust.org/research-projects/</a>).