**Domain 1: Scope and Purpose**
1. The overall objective(s) of the guideline is (are) specifically described
2. The clinical question(s) covered by the guideline is (are) specifically described
3. The patients to whom the guideline is meant to apply are specifically described

**Domain 2: Stakeholder Involvement**
4. The guideline development group includes individuals from all the relevant disciplines or stakeholders
5. The patients views and preferences have been sought
6. The target users of the guideline are clearly defined
7. The guideline has been piloted among target users

**Domain 3: Methodology**
8. Systematic methods were used to look for evidence
9. The criteria for selecting the evidence are clearly described
10. The methods used for formulating the recommendations are clearly described
11. The health benefits, side effects and risks have been considered in formulating the recommendations
12. There is an explicit link between the evidence and the supporting evidence
13. The guideline has been externally reviewed by experts prior to publication
14. A procedure for updating the guideline is provided

**Domain 4: Clarity and Presentation**
15. The recommendations are specific and unambiguous
16. The different options for management of the condition are clearly presented
17. Key recommendations are clearly identifiable
18. The guideline is supported with tools for application

**Domain 5: Applicability**
19. The potential organisational barriers in applying the guideline have been discussed
20. The potential costs implications of applying the recommendations have been considered.
21. The guideline presents key review criteria for monitoring and/or audit purposes.

**Domain 6: Methodology**
22. The guideline is editorially independent from the funding body.
23. Conflicts of interest of guideline development members have been recorded.

**Example Scoring for each domain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item 1</th>
<th>Item 2</th>
<th>Item 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appraiser 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraiser 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraiser 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraiser 4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

| 9 | 13 | 14 | 36 |

The standardised domain score will be:

**Obtained score – min. possible score**

Max. possible score – min possible score

Overall Assessment

Would you recommend this guideline for use in practice?

☐ Strongly Recommend

☐ Recommend (with provisos or alteration)

☐ Would not recommend

☐ Unsure

**Max possible score:**

\[
\text{Max possible score:}
4 \times 3 \times 4 = 48
\]

**Min possible score:**

\[
\text{Min possible score:}
1 \times 3 \times 4 = 12
\]

\[
\frac{36-12}{48-12} = 0.67 \times 100 = 67\%
\]

Number of Appraisers:

Recommended to have at least 2, preferably 4. The more appraisers the higher the reliability.