



NCCP Technology Review Committee (TRC)

Meeting Notes

Date of Meeting:	26 th August 2024 at 4.30pm
Venue:	Teleconference via MS Teams
Assessment:	Ciltacabtagene autoleucel (CARVYKTI®)
	Pembrolizumab (Keytruda®)

TEXT FOR REDACTION DUE TO DELIBERATIVE PROCESS HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW

TEXT FOR REDACTION DUE TO COMMERCIAL SENSITIVITY IS HIGHLIGHTED IN PINK

TEXT FOR REDACTION DUE TO CONFIDENTIALITY IS HIGHLIGHTED IN BLUE

Attendance:

Members present			
NCPE representative National Centre for Pharmacoeconomics (NCPE)		By MS Teams	
Dr Neil Barrett	Neil Barrett Consultant Haematologist, Children's Health Ireland - Crumlin		
Dr Dearbhaile Collins	rbhaile Collins Consultant Medical Oncologist, Cork University Hospital: ISMO nominee (Chair)		
Ms Fiona Mulligan	PCRS representative	By MS Teams	
Prof Michael O'Dwyer	Consultant Haematologist, Galway : IHS representative	By MS Teams	
Non-member invited spec			
Prof Fergal Kelleher	Medical Oncologist, St James's Hospital	By MS Teams	
Dr Oscar Breathnach	Medical Oncologist, Beaumont: ISMO nominee		
Dr Patrick Hayden	Dr Patrick Hayden Consultant Haematologist, St James's :IHS representative		
Dr Dearbhaile O'Donnell	Dr Dearbhaile O'Donnell Medical Oncologist, St. James's Hospital: ISMO nominee		
Dr Susan Spillane	Dr Susan Spillane HTA Directorate: HIQA nominee		
Observers present			
Ms Elizabeth Breen	Chief II Pharmacist, NCCP	By MS Teams	
Ms Helena Desmond	Senior Pharmacist, NCCP	By MS Teams	
Dr Derville O'Shea	Consultant Haematologist, Cork University Hospital	By MS Teams	

Item Discussion Actions

1	Introduction & reminder re. conflict of interest & confidentiality			
	Members were reminded to raise any conflicts of interest that they had in			
	relation to any drug for discussion prior to the commencement of the			
	discussion of that item.			
2	Notes of previous meeting and matters arising			
	The notes of the previous meeting on May 27th were reviewed and agreed			
3	Drugs/Technologies for consideration			
	Ciltacabtagene autoleucel (CARVYKTI®) (Ref. TRC 156)			
	For the treatment of adult patients with relapsed and refractory multiple			
	myeloma, who have received at least three prior therapies, including an			
	immunomodulatory agent, a proteasome inhibitor and an anti-CD38			
	antibody and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy.			
	The clinical aspects of this indication were discussed, and it was noted that			
	due to the availability of new agents with different and improved			
	mechanisms of action, survival rates of myeloma have improved dramatically			
	over the past 30 years, with a median survival of approximately 8 years			
	versus between 2 to 3 years, however patients continue to relapse. The			
	supporting evidence for this indication comes from the CARTITUDE-1 study a			
	phase 1b/2, open label, single-arm, multicentre study which evaluated the			
	use of ciltacabtagene autoleucel for the treatment of adult patients with			
	relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM) who had received at least			
	three prior lines of anti-myeloma therapies, including a proteasome			
	inhibitor, an immunomodulatory agent and an anti-CD38 antibody and who			
	had disease progression on or within 12 months after the last regimen. In this			
	study, 97 patient were treated with ciltacabtagene autoleucel, and the study			
	showed an overall response rate (ORR), the primary endpoint, of 98%, and of these, 82.5% achieved a stringent complete response (sCR), with 55% of			
	patients achieving minimal residual disease (MRD) or stable MRD negativity at			
	a level of 1x10 ⁻⁵ , for over 12 months. At the latest follow up median			
	progression free survival (PFS) was 34.9 months. A median PFS for almost 35			
	months is remarkable in a patient population who historically have really			
	poor survival rates, where the overall survival (OS) for rates for patients who			
	are triple class refractory is less than 1 year. The safety profile of			
	ciltacabtagene autoleucel was discussed, associated toxicities, are cytokine			
	release syndrome (CRS), however the rate of grade 3 or 4 CRS was about 5%			
	and there was a relative low incidence of severe ICANS, haematological			
	toxicity was the greatest toxicity due to lymphodepletion. It was noted that			
	the associated toxicities are manageable. There is a strong desire among the			
	clinicians to have ciltacabtagene autoleucel available to this patient cohort,			
	who have an unmet need.			
	The pharmacoeconomic aspects as outlined in the HTA assessment carried			
	out by the NCPE were discussed. It was noted that CAR-T therapy is currently			
	available at one accredited treatment centre in Ireland. The international			
	guidelines for the treatment of RRMM were outlined, along with the current			
	standard of care (SOC), relevant comparators and the positioning of			
	ciltacabtagene autoleucel within the treatment pathway. The supporting			
	clinical evidence was outlined, the NCPE Review Group highlighted a number of limitations regarding the supporting evidence, such as the single arm,			
	open label nature of the study, immaturity of the data and the uncertainty			
	of the PFS and OS benefit due to the relapsing nature of the disease. The			
	cost effectiveness analysis was discussed and the modelling used was			
	outlined. In terms of the cost, the total cost for a single ciltacabtagene			
	autoleucel infusion, a once off treatment, is €385,350 excluding VAT and			
	€481,950 including VAT. The treatment course costs for the relevant			
	comparators were outlined, and the cost of hospitalisation is assumed to			
	capture the cost of ciltacabtagene autoleucel administration. The ICERS			
	were outlined, the Applicant's base case ICER is €96,892 per QALY. The NCPE			
	review group made a number of changes to address some of the limitations			
	identified, the cost of additional treatment and amendments to the duration			
	of hospital stay to account for the cost ciltacabtagene autoleucel			
	administration. The NCPE adjusted base case is €122,926 per QALY. There is			

a 0% probability of cost effectiveness at either the €20,000/QALY or €45,000/QALY threshold and a 59.1% reduction in the price to wholesaler would be required to meet the €45,000/QALY threshold. In terms of the budget impact (BI), the BI model assumed that 10 patients will be treated in year 1, increasing to 15 patients by year 5, however there is the potential for the number of treated patients to increase if the capacity within Ireland increases. The cumulative 5-year net BI was estimated to be €30.3 million including VAT, or €23.89 million excluding VAT, noting at this does not consider the extra costs of ciltacabtagene autoleucel infusion such as apheresis, bridging and conditioning therapy,. The NCPE recommends that ciltacabtagene autoleucel be not considered for reimbursement unless costeffectiveness can be improved relative to existing treatments.

Having considered the clinical efficacy of the indication in this patient cohort the committee members agreed by majority to recommend approval of this indication to the HSE Drugs Group.

(Decision: TRC 156)

Pembrolizumab (Keytruda®) (Ref. TRC 157)

For the adjuvant treatment of adults and adolescent (≥12 years of age) with stage IIB or IIC melanoma following complete resection.

The clinical aspects of this indication were discussed. Since 1994 the incidence of melanoma has been increasing, with the most recent data from 2021, showing 1,263 cases per year in Ireland, which represents almost 5% of all invasive tumours. The supporting evidence for this indication is the KEYNOTE-716, a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study which evaluated the use of adjuvant pembrolizumab in the treatment of stage IIB and IIC melanoma in adults and adolescents aged 12 years and older who have undergone complete resection. There were a number of read outs for this study, in the first publication, April 2022, after a median follow up of 14.4 months, 11% of patients in the pembrolizumab group and 17% of patients in the placebo group had a first recurrence or died, with a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.65 and a confidence interval (CI) of 0.46 to 0.92 and a p value of 0.0066. At a further publication, December 2022 pembrolizumab significantly improved distant metastasis-free survival (DMFS) with a HR of 0.64 with a CI of 0.47 to 0.88 and a p value of 0.0029 compared with placebo. In the final publication, the final analysis for recurrence-free survival (RFS) (the primary endpoint), with a median follow up of 39.4 months, the estimated 36 month DMFS was 84.4% for pembrolizumab compared to 74.7% for placebo with a HR of 0.059, CI 0.844 to 0.76. The estimated 36 month RFS was 76.2% for pembrolizumab and 63% for placebo, HR of 0.62, with a CI 0.49 to 0.76. Overall the toxicity rate at the 36 month follow up, rate of grade 3 or 4 toxicity was found to be 17%. With regard to an unmet need, the prevalence of stage IIB and IIC melanoma is similar to stage III melanoma, accounting for 7% of new melanoma diagnosis. With regard to survival rates, stage IIB has a 5-year survival rate of 87% and 10year survival rate of 82% and stage IIC has a has a 5-year survival rate of 82% and 10-year survival rate of 75%. There is desire among the clinicians to have this treatment available for this patient cohort.

The pharmacoeconomic aspects as outlined in the HTA assessment carried out by the NCPE were discussed. The international guidelines for the treatment of melanoma, along with the current standard of care (SOC) and comparators were outlined, noting that the SOC for this patient cohort is routine surveillance. The supporting evidence was outlined, the NCPE Review Group highlighted a number of limitations regarding the supporting evidence, such as the immaturity of the data, at the fourth interim analysis, the RFS was still immature, with only 24% of patients in the pembrolizumab arm and 35.6% of patients in placebo arm had experienced a RFS event. The median DMFS had not been reached in either group at the fourth interim analysis,

however there was a statistically significant reduction noted at the third interim analysis 3 and the HR further decreased at the interim analysis 4, however the OS data remains immature. Other limitations highlighted were the limited number of adolescents enrolled, and uncertainty regarding the impact of adjuvant pembrolizumab on the sequencing and the efficacy of subsequent treatment in the advanced setting. The cost effectiveness analysis was discussed and the modelling used was outlined. In terms of cost, the cost per treatment course (12 months) for the adult population, assuming 400mg very 6 weeks of pembrolizumab is administered is €94,573 including VAT and €76,888 excluding VAT. The Applicant's base case ICER was €50,665 per QALY. A number of changes were made to the NCPEadjusted base case and the NCPE adjusted base case is €57496 per QALY. The probabilities of cost effectiveness at €45,000/QALY threshold is 40.8% and a reduction in the price to wholesaler in order to achieve and ICER of €45,000/QALY would be 22.97%. In terms of the budget impact (BI), this indication is associated with a large BI, the applicant estimated that 48 patients will be treated in year 1 rising to 50 in year 5. The 5-year gross BI by the applicant is estimated to be €24.4 million including VAT and €19.5 million excluding VAT. The NCPE changed one assumption in terms of estimating the number of patients, and assumed a higher proportion of patients would be eligible for treatment based on clinical opinion received, and the NCPE-adjusted base case BI estimates are €27.6 million (€22 million excluding VAT). The NCPE recommends that pembrolizumab be considered for reimbursement if the cost-effectiveness can be improved relative to existing treatments.

Having considered the clinical efficacy of the indication in this patient cohort the committee members agreed unanimous to recommend approval of this indication to the HSE Drugs Group.

(Decision: TRC 157)

Update on other drugs in the reimbursement process	
An update had been shared with the group in the documentation for the	
meeting	
Next meeting	
The proposed date for the next meeting is September 23rd 2024	
Any other business / Next meeting	
	An update had been shared with the group in the documentation for the meeting Next meeting The proposed date for the next meeting is September 23rd 2024

The meeting concluded at 17.45pm.

Actions arising from meeting:

Ref.	Date of	Details of action	Responsible	Update
	meeting			
24/01	26/08/2024	NCCP to communicate recommendations to HSE Drugs Group.	NCCP	Completed
24/01	26/08/2024	Apply for CPD	NCCP	Completed